City Council Member,

Attached is the Performance Evaluation tool for our City Manager you are aware we are responsible to perform an annual review of his performance. The information obtained through this process is very useful for both and the Council as we move forward with our duties for our community.

We are asking that each of you complete the evaluation and return this working document to Fran, John or Mark by our next City Council meeting

Please use the following rating method as is described in the tool.

RATING METHOD: 0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Acceptable 3 = Good 4 = Excellent (Please explain ratings of 0, 1 and 4).

As you work through the evaluation we encourage you to consider how you perceive that performing within each area of responsibility and rate it accordingly. You may "split" the score, i.e.: 3.5 (better than a 3 but not quite a 4).

We are also again asking to complete a self review as the information obtained from this process provides a thorough overview from all involved.

Once we have collected the input we will again analyze the information and produce a working collective summary document that represents the Council view of the City Manager performance.

We look forward to your atings and comments as we proceed with this process. If you have any questions or concerns please let us know.

Thank You, Fran, John and Mark

# PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CITY MANAGER

RATING METHOD: <u>0</u>=Unacceptable 1= Poor 2 = Acceptable 3 = Good 4 = Excellent (Please explain ratings of 0, 1 and 4).

1. PLANNING - ability to anticipate and analyze problems; maps effective solur 0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent	tions.
Comments:	
2. ORGANIZING - ability to arrange work and efficiently apply resources.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent  Comments:	
3. SUPERVISION - builds and motivates a team, provides direction, monitors an adjusts performance as necessary.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent  Comments:	ad ()
4. DELEGATION - effectively assigns work to others and builds their skills.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent  Comments:	
5. TIMING, i.e. opportunist - makes decisions when sufficient information is avaimplements action when conditions are ripe for success.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent  Comments:	ailable,

6. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT - accurately and concisely reports (and projects) the financial condition; management practices and policies are designed to maintain (or achieve) a sound, long range financial condition - uses debt cautiously, plans for the lon term replacement and maintenance of equipment and infrastructure.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent
Comments:
7. FORGES COMPROMISES - has the ability to resolve the numerous conflicts inherent in municipal government - is a good negotiator.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent  Comments:
8. ANALYTICAL - in making decisions considers the best available facts, projections and scientific evidence. To the extent that resources permit, insures that these tools are available.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent
Comments:
9. SENSITIVITY - listens and understands the positions and circumstances of others; communicates that understanding.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent
Comments:
10 COLEMETERS
10. COMMUNICATIONS WITH GOVERNING BODY - accurately interprets the direction given by the governing body; keeps you well informed with concise oral and written communication.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent
Comments:

0 – unacceptai	visible in the cople $1 = poor 2$	= acceptable	3 = good	e public's right at $4 = \text{excellent}$	(
Comments:					
12. COMMINIC	'ATIONC WIT	TT TO KEEP OX			
12. COMMUNIC keep the employed concerns.	s productive, m	ouvated and	part of the t	eam; understands	formation to their
Communication	le 1 = poor 2			4 = excellent	()
13. CREATIVITY	√ - ability to rea	ch for effecti	ve, and whe	n necessary, inve	ntive
0 = unacceptable  Comments:	e 1 = poor 2 =	= acceptable	3 = good	4 = excellent	
4. HONEST-FAI  0 = unacceptabl  comments:	R - consistently	open and stra	aightforward	d; impartial.	
	responds posit	ively to a cha		l and changing lo	ocal
onditions; does no 0 = unacceptable	· viiik to the sia	acceptable	3 = good 4	= excellent	()
4000 110	1 = poor 2 =	acceptable :	3 = good 4		
0 = unacceptable	nergy and motive $1 = poor 2 = 1$	vation mainta	ined in spite  good 4	e of constant dem	ands;

17. HUMOR -maintains and shares an appropriate sense of humor to lighten the load.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent
Comments:
18. HIRING - recognizes the value of excellent employees and uses all reasonable efforts to insure that the best available individuals are recruited and hired.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent  Comments:
19. RISK MANAGEMENT - implements effective programs to limit liability and loss.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent
Comments:
20. LEADERSHIP - guides effectively.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent  Comments:
21. ACCEPTS DIRECTION - aggressively responds to the direction of the majority of the governing body - not sidetracked by the minority but recognizes their concerns.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent ()  Comments:
22. ETHICAL - conforms to the high standards of the profession; is a member of ICMA, knows, follows and promotes the "ICMA Code of Ethics".  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent  Comments:

23. JOB KNOWLEDGE - has a solid understanding of all phases of municipal government.
0 = unacceptable  1 = poor  2 = acceptable  3 = good  4 = excellent
Comments:
24. PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT - takes action to acquire new knowledge and
skills - encourages employees to do the same.
0 = unacceptable  1 = poor  2 = acceptable  3 = good  4 = excellent
Comments:
25 SUCCESSEUF :- CI' :- 1
25. SUCCESSFUL - in spite of limited resources, makes it happen.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent
b sind-e-plante 1 pool 2 – acceptable 3 – good 4 = excellent
Comments:
26. OHALITY OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES 1
26. QUALITY OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES - how well do the direct services provided meet the needs of the community?
0 = unacceptable  1 = poor  2 = acceptable  3 = good  4 = excellent
1. Street Maintenance
2. Police Protection Services
3. Fire Protection Services
4. Parks
5. Recreation
6. Water and Sewer 7. Land Use
Projection
9. Construction
10. Code Enforcement
Total:
A Uttil.
Total/Number of Items Scored = ( )
The contraction of the contracti
Comments:

### <u>CONFIDENTIAL</u>

27. OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY -obtains the best possible end result for the mospent.	ney
0 = unacceptable  1 = poor  2 = acceptable  3 = good  4 = excellent	)
Comments:	***************************************
28. CREDITS GOVERNING BODY - credits municipal accomplishments to the po	***
makers; does not feed a personal ego.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent	licy )
Comments:	
29. COMPLETION OF PRIOR OBJECTIVES - considering the resources available how well CEO implemented prior objectives.  0 = unacceptable 1 = poor 2 = acceptable 3 = good 4 = excellent	e,
Comments:	
Final Overall Score: (	)